Lassonde Faculty of Engineering EECS EECS2001B. Problem Set No2 Posted: Oct. 24, 2020

Due: Nov. 17, 2020, by 2:00 pm; in the course's <u>eClass</u>, "Assignment #2".

Q: How do I submit?

A:

(1) The text of all answers is expected to be typed.

(2) Submission must be ONLY ONE file

- (3) Accepted File Types: PDF, RTF, MS WORD, ZIP
- (4) Deadline is strict, electronically limited.

(5) MAXIMUM file size = 10MB

It is worth remembering (quoted from the course outline):

The answers must be typed (but you may dow symbols by hand, if it is easier for you).

The homework must be each individual's <u>own work</u>. While consultations with the <u>instructor</u>, tutor, and <u>among students</u>, are part of the <u>learning</u> <u>process</u> and are encouraged, nevertheless, *at the end of all this consultation* each student will have to produce an <u>individual report</u> rather than a copy (full or partial) of somebody else's report.

The concept of "late assignments" does not exist in this course.

Page 1

G. Tourlakis

1. (5 MARKS) Program the function $\lambda xyz.if \ x = 0$ then y else z in the Loop-Program programming language with the least amount of Loop-end nesting. (That is, no nesting in this case.)

Warning. If your program is correct but has higher nesting, then it is assessed 2 MARKS.

2. (5 MARKS) Imitate the diagonalisation that we used in proving that the *Halting Problem* is <u>unsolvable</u>, and prove that $\lambda xyz.\phi_x(y) = 42$ is unsolvable too.

Hints.

• If the problem were <u>solvable</u> then so would be $\lambda x.\phi_x(x) = 42$ by Grz. Ops.

Now modify the main diagonal of the $\phi_i(j)$ -matrix we used in the Halting Problem, changing every entry on it from 42 to 0, and from $\neq 42$ to 42 in order to obtain a partial recursive function that is NOT a row of the matrix. Then say why is this a contradiction.

Or, if you prefer, do it this way:

- Use the technique we used for $A = \{x : \phi_x \text{ is a constant}\}$ in Class/Notes/Text to show $K \leq A$. Conclude from this.
- **3.** (5 MARKS) We proved using *S*-*m*-*n* and the reduction $K \leq A$ that $A = \{x : \phi_x \text{ is } a \text{ constant}\}$ that A is not recursive $(x \in A \text{ is "unsolvable"})$.

Prove that this is so also for a specific constant: That the set $B = \{x : \phi_x = \lambda x.1000\}$ is *not recursive*.

Hint. The proof I am asking you to do is obtained by a *very easy modification* of what we did for A in the Notes/Class (and Text).

4. (5 MARKS) Prove that the problem $x \in C$ where $C = \{x : 42 \in ran(\phi_x)\}$ is semi-computable.

Hint. Use closure properties of \mathcal{P}_* and the semi-recursiveness of $\lambda xyz.\phi_x(y) = z$ from the Notes/Class/Text.

Page 2

G. Tourlakis

5. (5 MARKS) Prove that $D = \{x : 101 \notin \operatorname{ran}(\phi_x)\}$ is not semi-computable, that is, we cannot verify that " ϕ_x will <u>never</u> print 101".

Hint. Show $\overline{K} \leq D$ by considering

$$\psi(x,y) = \begin{cases} 101 & \text{if } \phi_x(x) \downarrow \\ \uparrow & \text{othw} \end{cases}$$

You *MUST* provide ALL details that flow from this Hint. Just repeating the Hint with no work of yours <u>added</u> is assessed as 0 MARKS.

6. (5 MARKS) Prove that $E = \{x : \phi_x \text{ is a characteristic function}\}$ is NOT semi-computable.

Hint. Can you modify the proof that $\{x : \phi_x \in \mathcal{R}\}$ is not r.e. to prove that our E is not r.e.?

7. (5 MARKS) Decidable or undecidable? And <u>WHY</u>? $F = \{x : \phi_x(0) \downarrow\}$. *Hint.* Can

$$\psi(x,y) = \begin{cases} 101 & \text{if } \phi_x(x) \downarrow \\ \uparrow & \text{othw} \end{cases}$$

help?