$\langle \mathbf{S} \rangle$

Lassonde School of Engineering

Dept. of EECS Professor G. Tourlakis MATH1090 B. Problem Set No2 Posted: Oct. 9, 2019

Due: Oct. 30, 2019; by 2:00pm, in the course assignment box.

- In this problem set and onwards, $\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}'$ etc., are *metavariables* that stand for *actual* Boolean variables. As such, it is possible that, say, \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{q} stand for the same actual variable in some line of reasoning.
 - **1.** (8 MARKS)

Prove that

$$\vdash A \equiv A$$

in two different ways that do not use the "trick" of a Leibniz variable \mathbf{p} that does not appear in A.

2. (5 MARKS) True or False and WHY?

The following two statements -(1) and (2) are equivalent

$$\Gamma \vdash A \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash B \tag{1}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \tag{2}$$

3. (5 MARKS) We have learnt that $\Gamma \vdash A \land B$ implies that $\Gamma \vdash A$ **AND** $\Gamma \vdash B$.

Is (1) below *True* or *False* and WHY?

$$\Gamma \vdash A \lor B \text{ implies that } \Gamma \vdash A \text{ OR } \Gamma \vdash B \tag{1}$$

Caution. If a proof style is explicitly **required** in what follows, then any other style used gets 0 marks regardless of its correctness.

G. Tourlakis

Ś

Page 1

4. (5 MARKS) Prove Equationally that

 $A, \neg A \vdash \bot$

directly, without using the derived rule *CUT* in any of its special forms.

- **5.** (4 MARKS) Prove **Equationally** that $A \vdash B \rightarrow A$.
- **6.** (4 MARKS) Prove **Equationally** that $A \lor B \vdash \neg B \to A$.
- **7.** (5 MARKS) Prove that $A \to B \vdash A \lor C \to B \lor C$.
- 8. Prove that $A \to B, A \to C \vdash A \to B \land C$.

Do **two** proofs:

- (3 MARKS) One with the Deduction theorem (and a Hilbert-style proof).
- (5 MARKS) One Equational, **WITHOUT** using the Deduction theorem.