Lassonde Faculty of Engineering EECS MATH1090. Problem Set No. 3 Posted: November 7, 2019

Due: Nov. 21, 2019, by 2:00pm; in the course assignment box.

Administrative Stuff. It is worth remembering (from the course outline): The homework must be each individual's <u>own work</u>. While consultations with the <u>instructor</u>, tutor, and <u>among students</u>, are part of the <u>learning</u> <u>process</u> and are encouraged, nevertheless, *at the end of all this consultation* <u>each student</u> will have to produce an <u>individual report</u> rather than a copy (full or partial) of somebody else's report.

The concept of "late assignments" does not exist in this course.

A brief but full justification of each proof step is required! Do all the following problems; (5 Points Each).

Important Notes; Read First!

"Show that —or prove that— $\Gamma \vdash A$ " means "write a Γ -proof that establishes A". The proof can be Equational or Hilbert-style. Equational is rather easier in Boolean Logic. But it is <u>your choice</u>, unless a problem explicitly asks for a particular proof style.

"Required Method" means that any other method will get a 0-grade.

It is all right to use the Cut Rule in each problem, unless specified otherwise!

Post's Theorem is NOT allowed in Problems 1–4.

1. Show that $A \equiv B \equiv C \vdash A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$

Required Method: Use a **Hilbert style** proof and the Deduction Theorem.

2. Show that $\vdash A \land B \equiv B \land A$

Page 1

G. Tourlakis



Ş

Ş

- P Worth Remembering: With the above proved and with the associativity of \land proved in the MidTerm solutions, we now can use without any fussing:
 - In a chain of \wedge connectives we can insert brackets as we please, including not at all.
 - In a chain of ∧ connectives we can commute the participating formulas as we please.

The proofs are exactly as those for \lor -chains and \equiv -chains.

3. For any formulas A, B and C, prove that

$$\vdash (A \to B) \to (B \to C) \to (A \to C)$$

Required Method: Use a **Hilbert style** proof and the Deduction Theorem.

4. For any formulas A, B and C, prove again that

 $\vdash (A \to B) \to (B \to C) \to (A \to C)$

Required Method: Use a a **Resolution** proof.

5. For any formula A prove two things:

$$\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x}) A \to (\forall \mathbf{x}) A \lor (\forall \mathbf{z}) B$$

and

$$\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})(\forall \mathbf{y})(\forall \mathbf{z}) \Big(A \to A \Big)$$

6. Use an Equational Proof to show

$$\vdash (\exists \mathbf{x})(\exists \mathbf{y})A \equiv (\exists \mathbf{y})(\exists \mathbf{x})A$$

7. Typo corrected. This should read: Prove $\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})(A \lor B \to C) \to (\forall \mathbf{x})(A \to C) \land (\forall \mathbf{x})(B \to C).$

G. Tourlakis

Page 2