## Lassonde School of Engineering

Dept. of EECS Professor G. Tourlakis MATH1090 A. Problem Set No2 Posted: Oct. 9, 2020

Due: Oct. 30, 2020; by 2:00pm, in eClass, "Assignment #2"

**Q**: How do I submit?

## **A**:

- (1) Submission must be ONLY ONE file
- (2) Accepted File Types: PDF, RTF, MS WORD, ZIP
- (3) Deadline is strict, electronically limited.

## (4) MAXIMUM file size = 10MB

A proof that I ask you to write can be <u>either</u> Hilbert <u>or</u> Equational, UNLESS I ask for one of those styles specifically.

If so, the other proof style is worth 0 (F).

Page 1

G. Tourlakis

 $\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle$ 

**1.** (5 MARKS)

Prove **Equationally** the associativity of  $\wedge$ . That is prove

 $\vdash ((A \land B) \land C) \equiv A \land (B \land C))$ 

Use of Post's Theorem is NOT allowed (0 MARKS otherwise).

2. (5 MARKS) *True* or *False* CLAIM (below) and WHY Exactly? I claim that statements —(1) and (2)— say the SAME THING:

$$\Gamma \vdash A \text{ iff } \Gamma \vdash B \tag{1}$$

$$\Gamma \vdash A \equiv B \tag{2}$$

*HINT*. If true, give a *proof.* If false, offer a *counterexample*. In the latter case you CANNOT and MAY NOT use *schemata*. Must use *specific* formulas A and B, and set  $\Gamma$ .

**3.** (3 MARKS) p and q are distinct variables.

I this correct?  $p \vdash p \land q$ . WHY EXACTLY?

**4.** (4 MARKS) Prove **Equationally** that  $A, B \vdash A \equiv B$ . Use of Post's Theorem is NOT allowed (0 MARKS otherwise).

In the following question the Deduction Theorem is recommended.

Use of Post's Theorem is NOT allowed in the following 4 Problems (0 MARKS otherwise).

5. (4 MARKS) Prove in Hilbert-style that

$$A \to B \vdash \neg B \to \neg A$$

6. (5 MARKS) Prove in Hilbert-style that

$$A \to B \vdash (B \to C) \to (A \to C)$$

Page 2

G. Tourlakis

7. (5 MARKS) Prove in Hilbert-style that

 $\vdash (A \to (B \to C)) \to (A \to B) \to (A \to C)$ 

8. (5 MARKS) Prove in Hilbert-style that

$$\vdash (A \to (B \to C)) \to (B \to (A \to C))$$