# This page <u>must</u> be submitted as the <u>first</u> page of your FINAL EXAM-paper answer pages.

York University Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science Lassonde School of Engineering

MATH 1090 B. <u>FINAL EXAM</u>, December 22, 2021; <u>9:05am-11:05am</u>

**Professor George Tourlakis** 

# This page <u>must</u> be submitted as the <u>first</u> page of your FINAL EXAM-paper answer pages.

By putting my name and student ID on this MID TERM page, I attest to the fact that my answers included here and submitted by Moodle are my own work, and that I have acted with integrity, abiding by the *Senate Policy on Academic Honesty* that the instructor discussed at the beginning of the course and *linked the full Policy to the Course Outline*.

#### Student NAME (Clearly):\_\_\_\_\_

Student NUMBER (Clearly):\_\_\_\_\_

DATE (Clearly):\_\_\_\_\_

This page <u>must</u> be submitted as the <u>first</u> page of your FINAL EXAM-paper answer pages.

## **README FIRST!** INSTRUCTIONS:

1. TIME-LIMITED ON LINE **FINAL EXAM**.

You have 120 MIN to answer the EXAM questions.

**ABSOLUTELY last** opportunity to upload to eClass is <u>**BY 11:20am**</u>, that is, **EX-ACTLY 15 min** after the Official End Time.

### 2. <u>Only ONE file</u> can be uploaded per student.

- **3.** If you submit photographed copy **it still must be ONE file that you submit**. Either ZIP the JPEG/PNG images **OR** import them in **MS Word** and submit *ONE* Word *file* with the images attached.
- 4. Using the time allotted for the  $\frac{uploading \ mechanisms}{15 \ min}$  for the FI-NAL EXAM-answering part is at your own discretion.

# But also at your own **risk**.

FINAL EXAM not uploaded = FINAL EXAM not written.

- 5. Please write your answers by hand as you normally do for assignments or use a word processor that can convert to PDF. Microsoft Word is acceptable to upload <u>as is</u> (without conversion to PDF).
- 6. Whatever results were proved in class or appeared in the assignments you may use without proof, unless I am asking you to prove them in this Examination. If you are not sure whether some statement has indeed been proved *in class*, I recommend that you prove it in order to be "safe".

Question	MAX POINTS	MARK
1	3	
2	3	
3	5	
1	3	
2	5	
3	5	
4	5	
5	5	
TOTAL	34	

The following are the axioms of Propositional Calculus: In what follows, A, B, C stand for arbitrary formulae.

$$\frac{\text{Properties of } \equiv}{\text{(}(A \equiv B) \equiv C) \equiv (A \equiv (B \equiv C))}$$
(1)

Associativity of 
$$\equiv$$
  $((A \equiv B) \equiv C) \equiv (A \equiv (B \equiv C))$  (1)  
Symmetry of  $\equiv$   $(A \equiv B) \equiv (B \equiv A)$  (2)

Properties of 
$$\bot, \top$$
 (2)

$$\top \text{ vs.} \perp \quad \top \equiv \perp \equiv \perp \tag{3}$$

Properties of 
$$\neg$$

Introduction of 
$$\neg \quad \neg A \equiv A \equiv \bot$$
 (4)

$$\frac{\text{Properties of } \vee}{(A \setminus (B) \setminus (C) - A \setminus (B \setminus (C))}$$

Associativity of 
$$\lor$$
  $(A \lor B) \lor C \equiv A \lor (B \lor C)$  (5)  
Symmetry of  $\lor$   $A \lor B \equiv B \lor A$  (6)

$$\mathbf{Idempotency of } \vee \qquad A \vee A \equiv A \tag{6}$$

**Distributivity of** 
$$\lor$$
 **over**  $\equiv$   $A \lor (B \equiv C) \equiv A \lor B \equiv A \lor C$  (8)

**Excluded Middle** 
$$A \lor \neg A$$
 (9)

$$\frac{\text{Properties of } \wedge}{\mathbf{P} \cdot \mathbf{P}} = A - \mathbf{P} - A \vee \mathbf{P}$$
(10)

**Golden Rule** 
$$A \wedge B \equiv A \equiv B \equiv A \vee B$$
 (10)  
Properties of  $\rightarrow$ 

**Implication** 
$$A \to B \equiv A \lor B \equiv B$$
 (11)

The **Primary** Boolean rules are:

$$\frac{A, A \equiv B}{B} \tag{Eqn}$$

and

$$\frac{A \equiv B}{C[\mathbf{p} := A] \equiv C[\mathbf{p} := B]}$$
(Leib)

The following are the Predicate Calculus Axioms:

Any <u>partial generalisation</u> of any formula in groups Ax1-Ax6 is an axiom for Predicate Calculus.

Groups **Ax1–Ax6** contain the following schemata:

Ax1. Every tautology.

**Ax2.**  $(\forall \mathbf{x}) A \rightarrow A[\mathbf{x} := t]$ , for any term t.

**Ax3.**  $A \to (\forall \mathbf{x})A$ , provided  $\mathbf{x}$  is not free in A.

- **Ax4.**  $(\forall \mathbf{x})(A \to B) \to (\forall \mathbf{x})A \to (\forall \mathbf{x})B.$
- **Ax5.** For *each* object variable  $\mathbf{x}$ , the formula  $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}$ .
- **Ax6.** For any terms t, s, the schema  $t = s \rightarrow (A[\mathbf{x} := t] \equiv A[\mathbf{x} := s])$ .

There is ONLY ONE **Primary** First-Order rule; MODUS PONENS (*MP*)

$$\frac{A, A \to B}{B} \tag{MP}$$

In predicate calculus the most natural proofs are Hilbert-style.

The following **metatheorems** hold for **both** Propositional and Predicate Calculus:

- 1. Redundant  $\top$ .  $\Gamma \vdash A$  iff  $\Gamma \vdash A \equiv \top$
- 2. Cut Rule.  $A \lor B, \neg A \lor C \vdash B \lor C$
- 3. Deduction Theorem. If  $\Gamma, A \vdash B$ , then  $\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B$
- 4. Proof by contradiction.  $\Gamma, \neg A \vdash \bot$  iff  $\Gamma \vdash A$
- 5. Post's Theorem. (Also called "tautology theorem", or even "completeness of Propositional Calculus theorem")
  - If  $\models_{\text{taut}} A$ , then  $\vdash A$ .

**Also**: If  $\Gamma \models_{\text{taut}} A$  for finite  $\Gamma$ , then also  $\Gamma \vdash A$ .

6. Proof by cases.  $A \to B, C \to D \vdash A \lor C \to B \lor D$ Also the special case:  $A \to B, C \to B \vdash A \lor C \to B$ 

#### The Existential Quantifier $\exists$

 $(\exists \mathbf{x}) A \text{ stands for } \neg (\forall \mathbf{x}) \neg A$ 

therefore  $(\exists \mathbf{x})A \equiv \neg(\forall \mathbf{x})\neg A$  is a tautology, hence an absolute theorem.

Ş

Useful facts from Predicate Calculus (proved in class—you may use them without proof):

We **know** that WL (not stated here; you should know this rule well!) is a derived rule useful in Equational proofs within predicate calculus.

- ▶ More "rules" and (meta)theorems.
- (i) "Renaming the Bound Variable".

If **z** does not occur in  $(\forall \mathbf{x})A$  as either free or bound, then  $\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})A \equiv (\forall \mathbf{z})(A[\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{z}])$ 

If **z** does not occur in  $(\exists \mathbf{x})A$  as either free or bound, then  $\vdash (\exists \mathbf{x})A \equiv (\exists \mathbf{z})(A[\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{z}])$ 

(ii)  $\forall$  over  $\circ$  distribution, where " $\circ$ " is " $\vee$ " or " $\rightarrow$ ".

 $\vdash A \circ (\forall \mathbf{x}) B \equiv (\forall \mathbf{x}) (A \circ B)$ , provided **x** is not free in A

 $\exists \ over \land \ distribution$ 

 $\vdash A \land (\exists \mathbf{x})B \equiv (\exists \mathbf{x})(A \land B)$ , provided  $\mathbf{x}$  is not free in A

(iii)  $\forall$  over  $\land$  distribution.

$$\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x}) A \land (\forall \mathbf{x}) B \equiv (\forall \mathbf{x}) (A \land B)$$

 $\exists over \lor distribution.$ 

$$\vdash (\exists \mathbf{x}) A \lor (\exists \mathbf{x}) B \equiv (\exists \mathbf{x}) (A \lor B)$$

(iv)  $\forall$  commutativity (symmetry).

$$- (\forall \mathbf{x})(\forall \mathbf{y})A \equiv (\forall \mathbf{y})(\forall \mathbf{x})A$$

- (v) Specialisation. "Spec"  $(\forall \mathbf{x})A \vdash A[\mathbf{x} := t]$ , for any term t.
- (vi) Dual of Specialisation. "Dual Spec"  $A[\mathbf{x} := t] \vdash (\exists \mathbf{x})A$ , for any term t.
- (vii) *Generalisation.* "*Gen*" If  $\Gamma \vdash A$  and if, moreover, the formulae in  $\Gamma$  have **no free x occurrences**, then also  $\Gamma \vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})A$ .
- (viii)  $\forall$  Monotonicity. If  $\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B$  so that the formulae in  $\Gamma$  have **no free x occurrences**, then we can infer

 $\Gamma \vdash (\forall \mathbf{x}) A \to (\forall \mathbf{x}) B$ 

(ix)  $\forall$  Introduction; a special case of  $\forall$  Monotonicity. If  $\Gamma \vdash A \rightarrow B$  so that neither the formulae in  $\Gamma$  nor A have **any free x occurrences**, then we can infer

$$\Gamma \vdash A \to (\forall \mathbf{x})B$$

(x) Finally, the *Auxiliary Hypothesis Metatheorem*. If  $\Gamma \vdash (\exists \mathbf{x})A$ , and if  $\mathbf{y}$  is a variable that *does not* occur as either free or bound variable in any of A or B or the formulae of  $\Gamma$  —that is, it is <u>fresh</u>— then

$$\Gamma, A[\mathbf{x} := \mathbf{y}] \vdash B \text{ implies } \Gamma \vdash B$$

#### Semantics facts

Propositional Calculus	Predicate Calculus	
(Boolean Soundness) $\vdash A$ implies $\models_{taut} A$	$\vdash A \text{ does } \mathbf{NOT} \text{ imply } \models_{\text{taut}} A$	
(Post) $\models_{\text{taut}} A \text{ implies} \vdash A$	However, $\models_{\text{taut}} A \text{ implies} \vdash A$ , and	
	( <b>Pred. Calc. Soundness</b> ) $\vdash A$ implies $\models A$	

**CAUTION!** The above facts/tools are only *a fraction* of what we have covered in class. They are *very important and very useful*, and that is why they are listed for your reference here.

You can also use *without proof* ALL the things we have covered (such as the absolute theorems known as " $\exists$ -definition", "de Morgan's laws", etc.).

#### But these --- the unlisted ones--- are up to you to remember and to correctly state!

Whenever in doubt of whether or not a "tool" you are about to use <u>was indeed</u> covered in class, **prove** the validity/fitness of the tool before using it!

Ş

**Boolean Logic 1.** (3 MARKS) Suppose  $\vdash A$  and  $\vdash B$ . Does it follow that  $\vdash A \equiv B$ ? If yes, give a proof. If not, use soundness to justify your "NO". Post's theorem is NOT allowed.

**Boolean Logic 2.** (3 MARKS) Suppose  $\vdash A \equiv B$ . Does it follow that  $\vdash A$  and  $\vdash B$ ? If yes, give a proof. If not, use soundness to justify your "NO". Post's theorem is NOT allowed.

Boolean Logic 3. (5 Marks) Prove by Resolution:

$$\vdash \left( X \to (Y \to Z) \right) \to \left( (X \to Y) \to (X \to Z) \right)$$

<u>Caution</u>: 0 Marks gained if any other technique is used. In particular, Post's theorem is NOT allowed.

> A proof by resolution

1)  $\underline{\text{MUST}}$  use proof by contradiction, and

2) It <u>cannot/must not</u> be "preloaded" with a <u>long</u> Equational or Hilbert <u>proof</u> only to conclude with ONE<u>CUT</u>.

Such a proof, *if correct*, loses half the points.

Predicate Logic 1. (3 MARKS) True or False and WHY —No correct "WHY" = 0 MARKS:

For any formula A, we have  $\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x})(\forall \mathbf{z})(A \equiv A)$ .

**Predicate Logic 2.** (5 MARKS) Use an **Equational** proof to establish the ∃-version of the one-point-rule:

If  $\mathbf{x}$  is not free in t then

 $\vdash (\exists \mathbf{x})(\mathbf{x} = t \land A) \equiv A[\mathbf{x} := t]$ 

*Hint.* Use the  $\forall$ -version of the one-point rule as a given.

**Predicate Logic 3.** (5 MARKS) Using soundness of predicate logic show why the converse of Ax4 (p.3)

$$(\forall \mathbf{x})(A \to B) \to (\forall \mathbf{x})A \to (\forall \mathbf{x})B$$
 (Ax4)

is  $\underline{\text{not}}$  a theorem.

**Predicate Logic 4.** (5 MARKS) Prove  $\vdash (\forall \mathbf{x}) A \lor (\forall \mathbf{x}) B \to (\forall \mathbf{x}) (A \lor B)$ .

Predicate Logic 5. (5 MARKS) You must use the technique of the "auxiliary hypothesis metatheorem" in the proof that you are asked to write here. <u>Any other proof (even IF correct)</u> will *MAX* at 0 MARKS.

For any formulas A, B, and C show that

$$\vdash (\exists \mathbf{x}) (A \lor B \underset{\mathbf{Page 6}}{\to} C) \to (\exists \mathbf{x}) (A \to C) \land (\exists \mathbf{x}) (B \to C)$$