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It is not allowed to use truth tables (or any of their shortcuts) in ANY of the problems below. Such methods get zero marks.

1. By definition, in a $\Sigma$-proof we are free to write an axiom $A(A \in \Lambda)$ or a "hyp" $A$ from $\Sigma(A \in \Sigma)$ as many times as we like. Each time the justification is "axiom" or "wff from $\Sigma$ " according to the case.
(a) (2 MARKS) Can we also write, say, consecutively 10 times in a row the result $B$ of Eqn applied on previous wff $X$ and $Y$ in the proof?
What reason will we give each of the 10 times?
Answer. Yes. Say the $X$ and $Y$ are on lines $(i)$ and $(j)$.
The same justification/annotation will be given in each of the 10 cases: $\langle(i)+(j)+$ Eqn $\rangle$.
(b) ( 1 MARKS) What if the 10 times are not consecutive? Can we do it? What reason will we give?
Answer. Yes, we can do it exactly as above. Say the $X$ and $Y$ are on lines $(i)$ and $(j)$.
The same justification/annotation will be given in each of the 10 not consecutive cases: $\langle(i)+(j)+$ Eqn $\rangle$.
2. (4 MARKS) Prove Equationally that $A, B \vdash A \equiv B$.

Proof.

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& A \equiv B \\
\Leftrightarrow\langle\text { Leib }+ \text { Red. } \top \text { META: } A, B \vdash A \equiv \top ; \text { Denom } \mathbf{p} \equiv B\rangle \\
& \top \equiv B \\
\Leftrightarrow\langle\text { Red. } \top \text { THM }\rangle \\
\quad B & \text { bingo! }
\end{array}
$$

3. (4 MARKS) Prove Equationally that for any $A$,

$$
\perp \vdash A
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\text { thm from class/Notes }\rangle \\
& \perp \vee A \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\text { Red. } \top \text { META (i.e., } \perp \vdash \perp \equiv \top)+\text { Leib; Denom: } \mathbf{p} \vee A\rangle \\
& \top \vee A \quad \text { bingo! thm from class/Notes }
\end{aligned}
$$

4. (4 MARKS) Prove Equationally that $\vdash A \wedge B \equiv B \wedge A$.

Hint. Insert the missing brackets first (but not the outermost).
Proof. This asks us to certify $\vdash(A \wedge B) \equiv(B \wedge A)$.
$A \wedge B$
$\Leftrightarrow\langle\mathrm{GR}\rangle$
$A \vee B \equiv A \equiv B$
$\Leftrightarrow\langle$ commute $\equiv$-chain $\rangle$
$A \vee B \equiv B \equiv A$
$\Leftrightarrow\langle$ axiom + Leib; Denom: $\mathbf{p} \equiv B \equiv A\rangle$
$B \vee A \equiv B \equiv A$
$\Leftrightarrow\langle\mathrm{GR}\rangle$
$B \wedge A$
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5. (4 MARKS) Prove Equationally that $\vdash A \wedge(A \vee B) \equiv A$.

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \wedge(A \vee B) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\mathrm{GR} ; \mathrm{I} \text { drop brackets because we MAY do so! }\rangle \\
& A \vee A \vee B \equiv A \equiv A \vee B \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\text { axiom }+ \text { Leib; Denom: } \mathbf{p} \vee B \equiv A \equiv A \vee B\rangle \\
& A \vee B \equiv A \equiv A \vee B \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\text { commute an } \equiv \text {-chain }\rangle \\
& A \vee B \equiv A \vee B \equiv A \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\vdash X \equiv X \text {-thm }+ \text { Red. } \top \text { META }+ \text { Leib; Denom: } \mathbf{p} \equiv A\rangle \\
& \top \equiv A \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\text { Red. } \top \text { THM }\rangle \\
& A
\end{aligned}
$$

6. (3 MARKS) Prove Equationally that $\vdash B \wedge(A \vee \neg A) \equiv B$.

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B \wedge(A \vee \neg A) \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle(A \vee \neg A) \text {-axiom }+ \text { Red. } \top \text { META }+ \text { Leib; Denom: } B \wedge \mathbf{p}\rangle \\
& B \wedge \top \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\text { thm }(\text { class } / \text { Notes })\rangle \\
& B
\end{aligned}
$$
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7. (3 MARKS) Prove Equationally that $\vdash A \vee B \vee \neg A$.

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A \vee B \vee \neg A \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle\text { commute an } \vee \text {-chain }\rangle \\
& A \vee \neg A \vee B \\
\Leftrightarrow & \langle(A \vee \neg A) \text {-axiom }+ \text { Red. } \top \text { META + Leib; Denom: } \mathbf{p} \vee B\rangle \\
& \top \vee B \quad \text { bingo! }
\end{aligned}
$$

