A Subset of the URM Language; FA and NFA

Lecture #22; Nov. 30

The FA and NFA of Notes #9 and #10 provide finite descriptions of regular languages, since an FA/NFA M is finite (a graph, say) and a regular language is an L(M) for some M.

The next section proposes *another type of finite description* of regular languages.

0.1. Regular Expressions

Regular expressions are familiar to <u>users of the UNIX</u> operating system.

They are *names* for regular sets as we will see.

- Do they <u>name ALL regular sets</u>, i.e., all sets of the type L(M) where M is a FA (or NFA, equivalently)?
- Do they name any NON regular sets?

We will see that we must answer YES, NO.

Regular Expressions <u>are more than "just names"</u> as they *embody enough information* —as we will see— to be *me-chanically transformable* into a NFA (and thus to a FA as well).

0.1.1 Definition. (Regular expressions over Σ) Given the *finite alphabet of atomic symbols* Σ , we form the *extended alphabet*

$$\Sigma \cup \{\emptyset, +, \cdot, *, (,)\} \tag{1}$$

where the symbols \emptyset , +, ·, *, (,) (not including the comma separators) are all <u>abstract</u> or *formal*^{*} and *do not occur in* Σ . In particular, " \emptyset " in this alphabet is just a symbol do NOT interpret it! (Yet!)

So are "+", " \cdot ", "*" and the brackets. All these symbols will be interpreted shortly.

The set of regular expressions over Σ is a set of strings over the augmented alphabet above, given inductively by

Regular expressions are <u>names</u>, formed as strings over the alphabet (1) as follows :

(1) Every member of $\Sigma \cup \{\emptyset\}$ is a regular expression.

Examples for case (1): If $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ then 0, 1, and \emptyset , all viewed as *abstract symbols* with no interpretation are each a regular expression.

- (2) If α and β are *(names of) regular expressions*, then so is the string $(\alpha + \beta)$
- (3) If α and β are (names of) regular expressions, then so is the string $(\alpha \cdot \beta)$
- (4) If α is a *(name of) regular expression*, then so is the string (α^*)

^{*}Employed to define form or structure.

Intro to Automata© 2020, by George Tourlakis

The letters α , β , γ are used as *metavariables* (*syntactic variables*) in this definition. They will <u>stand for</u> *arbitrary regular expressions* (we may add primes or subscripts to increase the number of our metavariables).

\diamondsuit 0.1.2 Remark.

(i) We emphasize that regular expressions are built starting from the *objects* contained in $\Sigma \cup \{\emptyset\}$.

We also emphasize that we have NOT talked about semantics yet, that is, we did NOT say YET what sets these expressions will name, nor, what "+, "." and "*" mean.

- (ii) We will often omit the "dot" in $(\alpha \cdot \beta)$ and write simply $(\alpha\beta)$.
- (iii) We assign the *highest priority* to *, the *next lower* to \cdot and the <u>lowest</u> to +.

We will let $\alpha \circ \alpha' \circ \alpha'' \circ \alpha'''$ group ("associate") from right to left, *for any* $\circ \in \{+, \cdot, *\}$.

Given these <u>priorities</u>, we may <u>omit</u> some brackets, as is usual.

Thus, $\alpha + \beta \gamma^*$ means $\left(\alpha + \left(\beta(\gamma^*)\right)\right)$

and $\alpha\beta\gamma$ means $(\alpha(\beta\gamma))$.

We next define what sets these expressions name (semantics).

0.1.3 Definition. (Regular expression semantics)

We define the *semantics* of any regular expression over Σ by recursion on the Definition 0.1.1.

We use the notation $L(\alpha)$ to indicate the set named by α .

- (1) $L(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, where the left " \emptyset " is the <u>symbol</u> in the augmented alphabet (1) above, while the right " \emptyset " is the *name of the empty set in ordinary MATH*.
- (2) $L(a) = \{a\}$, for each $a \in \Sigma$
- (3) $L(\alpha + \beta) = L(\alpha) \cup L(\beta)$
- (4) $L(\alpha \cdot \beta) = L(\alpha)L(\beta)$ —where for two languages (sets of strings!) L and L', LL' —the *concatenation of the* <u>SETS</u> in this order—stands for $\{xy : x \in L \land y \in L'\}$.
- (5) $L(\alpha^*) = (L(\alpha))^{*\dagger}$ —where for any set *S* —finite or not— *S*^{*} denotes *the set of all strings*
 - $x_1x_2...x_n$, for $n \ge 0$, and where all (strings) $x_i \in S$ where n = 0 means that $x_1x_2...x_n = \lambda$.

Thus, in particular, we have always
$$\lambda \in S^*$$
.

[†]The * in S^* is called the Kleene closure. So S^* is the Kleene closure of S.

Intro to Automata© 2020, by George Tourlakis

 $0.1. \ {\rm Regular} \ {\rm Expressions}$

0.1.4 Example. Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. Then $L((0+1)^*) = \Sigma^*$. Indeed, this is because $L(0+1) = L(0) \cup L(1) = \{0\} \cup \{1\} = \{0, 1\} = \Sigma$.

0.1.5 Example. We note that $L(\emptyset^*) = (L(\emptyset))^* = \emptyset^* = \{\lambda\}.$

Why so?

Because Σ^* is λ along with the set of all strings formed using symbols from Σ .

 \emptyset has no symbols to form strings with. So all we got is $\lambda.$

See last "red" comment in Def. 0.1.3.

Because of the above, we add " λ " as a *DEFINED NAME*—not in the original alphabet— for the <u>set</u> { λ }. Of course, two regular expressions α and β over the same alphabet Σ are equal, written $\alpha = \beta$, iff they are so *as strings*.

We also have another, *semantic*, concept of regular expression "equality":

0.1.6 Definition. (Regular expression equivalence) We say that two regular expressions α and β over the same alphabet Σ are *equivalent*, written $\alpha \sim \beta$, iff they name the same set/language, that is, iff $L(\alpha) = L(\beta)$.

0.1. Regular Expressions

0.1.7 Example. Let $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$. Then $(0+1)^* \sim (0^*1^*)^*$. Indeed, $L((0+1)^*) = \Sigma^*$, by 0.1.4.

So, if anything, we do have

$$L\left((0+1)^*\right) \supseteq L\left((0^*1^*)^*\right)$$

Now —for $L((0+1)^*) \subseteq L((0^*1^*)^*)$ — the set $L((\underbrace{0^*1^*}_A)^*)$

is A^* where

$$A = L(0^*1^*) = \{0^n 1^m : n \ge 0 \land m \ge 0\}$$

because

$$L(0^*) = L(0)^* = \{0\}^* = \{0^n : n \ge 0\}$$

and similarly for

$$L(1^*) = L(1)^* = \{1\}^* = \{1^m : m \ge 0\}$$

Example 2 It should be clear that any string of 0s and 1s can be built using as building blocks $0^n 1^m$ judiciously choosing n and m values.

E.g., $01^{10}0^{11}$ can be thought of as

$$0^{1}1^{0}0^{0}1^{10}0^{11}1^{0}$$

More generally, to show that an arbitrary string over Σ ,

$$\dots 0^k \dots 1^r \dots \tag{1}$$

is in A^* view (1) as

$$\dots 0^k 1^0 \dots 0^0 1^r \dots$$

Intro to Automata[®] 2020, by George Tourlakis

Ì

But then the statement between the \mathfrak{F} signs simply says that $\Sigma^* \subseteq L((0^*1^*)^*)$. Done.

 \bigotimes By the above example, $\alpha \sim \beta$ does NOT imply $\alpha = \beta$. \bigotimes

10

0.2. From a Regular Expression to NFA and Back

There is a *mechanical procedure* (*algorithm*), which from a given regular expression α constructs a NFA M so that $L(\alpha) = L(M)$, and conversely:

Given a NFA M <u>constructs</u> a regular expression α so that $L(\alpha) = L(M)$.

We split the procedure into two directions. *First, we* go from regular expression to a NFA. **0.2.1 Theorem. (Kleene)** For any regular expression α over an alphabet Σ we can construct a NFA M with input alphabet Σ so that $L(\alpha) = L(M)$.

Proof. Induction over the closure of Definition 0.1.1 — that is, on the formation of a regular expression α according to the said definition. For the basis we consider the cases

• $\alpha = \emptyset$; the NFA below works



• $\alpha = a$, where $a \in \Sigma$; the NFA below works



Both of the above NFA have EXACTLY ONE accepting state. Our construction maintains this property throughout.

That is, all the NFA we construct in this proof will have that form, namely



Assume now (the I.H. on regular expressions!) that we have built NFA for α and β —M and N— so that $L(\alpha) = L(M)$ and $L(\beta) = L(N)$. Moreover, these M and N have the form above. For the induction step we have three cases:

• To build a NFA for $\alpha + \beta$, that is, one that accepts the language $L(M) \cup L(N)$. The NFA below works since the accepting paths are precisely those from Mand those from N.



However, to maintain the single accepting state form, we modify it as the NFA below.



Intro to Automata[®] 2020, by George Tourlakis

• To build a NFA for $\alpha\beta$, that is, one that accepts the language L(M)L(N).

The NFA below works —since the accepting paths are precisely those formed by <u>concatenating</u> an accepting path of M (labeled by some $x \in L(M)$) with an λ move and <u>then</u> with an accepting path of N (labeled by some $y \in L(N)$);

in that left to right order.

The λ that connects M and N will not affect the path name: $x\lambda y = xy$.



0.2. From a Regular Expression to NFA and Back

• To build a NFA for α^* , that is, one that accepts the language $L(M)^*$. The NFA below, that we call P, works. That is, $L(P) = L(M)^*$.



Lecture #23, Dec. 7

0.2.2 Theorem. (Kleene) For any FA or NFA M with input alphabet Σ we can construct a regular expression α over Σ so that $L(\alpha) = L(M)$.

Proof. Given a FA M (if a NFA is given, then we convert it to a FA first).

We will construct an α with the required properties. The idea is to express L(M) in terms of simple to describe (indeed, regular themselves) sets of strings over Σ by repeatedly using the operations \cdot, \cup and Kleene star, a finite number of times.

These regular sets —<u>NAMEABLE</u> by RegEXs— are called by Kleene " R_{ij}^k ", where $k \leq n$ and where the state set of the FA is

 q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n —the same "*n*" as above

It turns out that " $\bigcup_{j} R_{1j}^{n}$ " is the set of all <u>FA-acceptable</u> strings, the union taken *over all accepting* q_{j} .

 $\langle \mathbf{s} \rangle$

So let $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_n\}$ be the set of states of M, where q_1 is the start state.[†] We will refer to the set of M's accepting states as F.

We next define several *sets* of strings (over Σ) —denoted by R_{ij}^k , for k = 0, 1, ..., n and each *i* and *j* ranging from 1 to *n*.

$$R_{ij}^{k} = \{x \in \Sigma^{*} : x \text{ labels a path from } q_{i} \text{ to } q_{j} \\ \text{and every } q_{m} \text{ in this path, other than the} \qquad (1) \\ \text{endpoints } q_{i} \text{ and } q_{j}, \text{ satisfies } m \leq k\}$$

 \bigotimes A superscript of n removes the *restriction* on the path

$$q_i \stackrel{x}{\frown} q_j \tag{2}$$

since every state q_m satisfies $m \leq n$.

Thus R_{ij}^n contains ALL strings that name FA-paths from q_i to q_j —<u>no restriction</u> on where these paths pass through.

Intro to Automata[®] 2020, by George Tourlakis

Ś

^{\dagger}We start numbering states from 1 rather than 0 for technical convenience; see the blue sentence at the top of next page.

We first note that for k = 0 we get very small <u>finite</u> sets.

Indeed, since state numbering starts at 1, the condition $m \leq 0$ is false and therefore in R_{ij}^0 we have the cases:

• if we have $i \neq j$, then the condition (2) on p.17 can hold precisely when $x = a \in \Sigma$ for some a —since there can be no nodes in the interior of x.

That is, we have precisely the case:

$$(q_i) \xrightarrow{a} (q_j) \tag{(\dagger)}$$

• The case i = j also allows λ in the set, since we have <u>ONE</u> state:

$$(q_i = q_j) \tag{\ddagger}$$

In words, "I can go from q_i to q_j DETERMINISTI-CALLY <u>without</u> consuming ANY input".

To summarize, for all i and j we have

$$R_{ij}^{0} = \begin{cases} \{a \in \Sigma : \text{ Case } (\dagger)\} & \text{if } i \neq j \\ \{\lambda\} \cup \{a \in \Sigma : \text{ Case } (\dagger)\} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases}$$
(3)

 $0.2.\ {\rm From \ a}\ {\rm Regular}\ {\rm Expression}\ {\rm to}\ {\rm NFA}\ {\rm and}\ {\rm Back}$

Since every *finite* set of strings can be named by a regular expression (Exercise!),

there are RegEx: α_{ij}^0 such that $L(\alpha_{ij}^0) = R_{ij}^0$, for all i, j(4)

For example, say $A = \{3, 5, 8, \lambda\}$. This is a finite set. It is NOT an alphabet (contains λ).

Then the RegEX $3+5+8+\lambda = 3+5+8+\emptyset^*$ NAMES A.

Why? Because $A = \{3\} \cup \{5\} \cup \{8\} \cup \{\lambda\}.$

Next note that the R_{ij}^k can be <u>COMPUTED</u> recursively using k as the recursion variable and i, j as parameters, and taking (3) as the <u>basis</u> of the recursion.

To see this, consider a path labeled x in R_{ij}^k , for k > 0. It <u>is</u> possible that all q_m (other than q_i and q_j) that occur in the path have m < k. Then this x also belongs to R_{ij}^{k-1} .

If on the other hand we DO have q_k appear in the interior of the path labeled x, one or more times, then we have the picture below.



where the q_k occurrences start immediately after the path named z_0 and are connected by paths named z_i , for $i = 1, \ldots, t$. Thus, $x = z_0 z_1 z_2 \ldots z_t z_{t+1}$. Noting that $z_0 \in R_{ik}^{k-1}$, $z_i \in R_{kk}^{k-1}$ —for $i = 1, \ldots, t$ — and $z_{t+1} \in R_{kj}^{k-1}$, we have that $x \in R_{ik}^{k-1} \cdot (R_{kk}^{k-1})^* \cdot R_{kj}^{k-1}$. We have established, for all $k \ge 1$ and all i, j, that

$$R_{ij}^{k} = R_{ij}^{k-1} \cup R_{ik}^{k-1} \cdot \left(R_{kk}^{k-1}\right)^{*} \cdot R_{kj}^{k-1} \tag{4}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} & \underbrace{\mathbf{Explanation. Noting that}}_{\left(R_{kk}^{k-1}\right)^{*} = \{\lambda\} \cup R_{kk}^{k-1} \cup \\ R_{kk}^{k-1} R_{kk}^{k-1} \cup R_{kk}^{k-1} R_{kk}^{k-1} \cup R_{kk}^{k-1} R_{kk}^{k-1} R_{kk}^{k-1} R_{kk}^{k-1} R_{kk}^{k-1} \cup \\ \end{array} \right)$$

the set of paths, from q_i to q_j depicted in the following part of (4):

$$R_{ik}^{k-1} \cdot \left(R_{kk}^{k-1}\right)^* \cdot R_{kj}^{k-1}$$

may contain

one interior q_k case corresponds to λ two interior q_k case corresponds to R_{kk}^{k-1} three interior q_k case corresponds to $R_{kk}^{k-1}R_{kk}^{k-1}$ four interior q_k case corresponds to $R_{kk}^{k-1}R_{kk}^{k-1}R_{kk}^{k-1}$ five interior q_k case corresponds to $R_{kk}^{k-1}R_{kk}^{k-1}R_{kk}^{k-1}R_{kk}^{k-1}$ etc.

Now take the I.H. that for $k-1 \ge 0$ (fixed!) and all values of *i* and *j* we have regular expressions α_{ij}^{k-1} such that $L(\alpha_{ij}^{k-1}) = R_{ij}^{k-1}$ —that is, α_{ij}^{k-1} <u>NAMES the set</u> R_{ij}^{k-1} .

We see that we can construct —from the α_{ij}^{k-1} — regular expressions α_{ij}^k for the R_{ij}^k .

Indeed, using the I.H. and (4), we have the RegEX α_{ij}^k GIVEN, for all i, j and the fixed k, by

$$\alpha_{ij}^{k} = \alpha_{ij}^{k-1} + \alpha_{ik}^{k-1} (\alpha_{kk}^{k-1})^* \alpha_{kj}^{k-1}$$
(5)

Along with the basis (3) that the R_{ij}^0 sets <u>CAN</u> be named being finite, this induction proves that all the R_{ij}^k can be named by regular expressions, which we may construct, from the basis up.

Intro to Automata[®] 2020, by George Tourlakis

Ŷ

Finally, the set L(M) can be so named. Indeed,

$$L(M) = \bigcup_{q_j \in F} R_{1j}^n$$

Therefore, as a RegEX:

$$\sum_{q_j \in F} \alpha_{1j}^n = \overbrace{\alpha_{1j_1}^n + \alpha_{1j_2}^n + \ldots + \alpha_{1j_m}^n}^{finitely many terms}$$

The above is a finite union (F is finite!) of sets named by α_{1j}^n with $q_j \in F$. Thus we may construct its name as the "sum" (using "+", that is) of the names α_{1j}^n with $q_j \in F$.

22

0.2.3 Example. Consider the FA below.



We will compute regular expressions for:

- all sets R_{ij}^0
- all sets R_{ij}^1
- all sets R_{ij}^2

Recall the definition of the R_{ij}^k , here for k = 0, 1, 2 and i, j ranging in $\{1, 2\}$ (cf. proof of 0.2.2):

 $\{x: (q_i) \stackrel{x}{\frown} (q_j), \text{ where no state in this computation}, \}$

other than possibly the *end-points* q_i and q_j , has index higher than k}

This leads —as we saw— to the recurrence:

$$R_{ij}^{k} = R_{ij}^{k-1} \cup R_{ik}^{k-1} (R_{kk}^{k-1})^* R_{kj}^{k-1}$$

Below I employ the abbreviated (regular expression) *name* " λ " for \emptyset^* .

SET	RegEx
R_{11}^0	$\lambda + 0$
R_{12}^0	1
R_{21}^0	1
R_{22}^{0}	$\lambda + 0$

Intro to Automata[®] 2020, by George Tourlakis

Superscript 1 now:

SET	RegEx: By Direct Substitution
$R_{11}^1 = R_{11}^0 \cup R_{11}^0 (R_{11}^0)^* R_{11}^0$	$\lambda + 0 + (\lambda + 0)(\lambda + 0)^*(\lambda + 0)$
$R_{12}^1 = R_{12}^0 \cup R_{11}^0 (R_{11}^0)^* R_{12}^0$	$1 + (\lambda + 0)(\lambda + 0)^*1$
$R_{21}^1 = R_{21}^0 \cup R_{21}^0 (R_{11}^0)^* R_{11}^0$	$1 + 1(\lambda + 0)^*(\lambda + 0)$
$R_{22}^1 = R_{22}^0 \cup R_{21}^0 (R_{11}^0)^* R_{12}^0$	$\lambda + 0 + 1(\lambda + 0)^* 1$

Using the previous table, the reader will have no difficulty to fill in the regular expressions under the heading "RegEx: By Direct Substitution" in the next table.

To make things easier it is best to <u>simplify</u> the regular expressions of the previous table, meaning, finding <u>simpler</u>, <u>equivalent</u> ones. For example, $L(\lambda + 0 + (\lambda + 0)(\lambda + 0)^*(\lambda + 0)) = \{\lambda, 0\} \cup \{\lambda, 0\}\{\lambda, 0\} = \{\lambda, 0\} \cup \{\lambda, 0\}\{\lambda, 0, 00, 000, \ldots\}\{\lambda, 0\} = \{0\}^*$, thus

$$\lambda + 0 + (\lambda + 0)(\lambda + 0)^*(\lambda + 0) \sim 0^*$$

Superscript 2:

SET	RegEx: By Direct Substitution
$R_{11}^2 = R_{11}^1 \cup R_{12}^1 (R_{22}^1)^* R_{21}^1$	
$R_{12}^2 = R_{12}^1 \cup R_{12}^1 (R_{22}^1)^* R_{22}^1$	
$R_{21}^2 = R_{21}^0 \cup R_{22}^0 (R_{22}^1)^* R_{21}^1$	
$R_{22}^2 = R_{22}^1 \cup R_{22}^1 (R_{22}^1)^* R_{22}^1$	

0.3. Another Example

0.3.1 Example. Let us show another NFA to FA conversion.

OK, given the following NFA which clearly decides the language over $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ given by the RegEx

 $(0+1)^*00$

that is, the language containing ALL strings that end in two 0s.



The **DETERMINISTIC** FA <u>equivalent</u> to the above is the following:



Intro to Automata[®] 2020, by George Tourlakis