## Prolog Overview

Yves Lespérance<br>(Some material comes from Peter<br>Roosen-Runge)

## Prolog idea

- programming language based on first -order Horn theories, SLD resolution
- search strategy is fixed:depth-first, left to right, top to bottom
- programmer uses this to order search, is responsible for efficiency and termination
- good for symbolic computing


## syntax of terms

- variables begin with upper-case letter or _
- constants and functors (function and predicate symbols) begin with lower-case
- E.g. john, john_smith, X, Node, _person, 'CSE', fatherOf(paul), date(25,10,2005)
- compound terms are called structures, e.g. course(complexity,time(monday
,9,11),lecturer(patrick,dymond),location('CSE' ,3311))


## E.g. program: family relations

- rules
parent(Parent, Child) :- mother(Parent, Child).
parent(Parent, Child) :- father(Parent, Child).
ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Y).
ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Z), ancestor(Z,Y).
- facts
father('George', 'Elizabeth').
father('George', 'Margaret').
father('Paul', 'George').
mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth').
mother('Mary', 'Margaret').


## rules

- rules are definite clauses, or conditional statements.
- e.g.
ancestor(X,Y) :- parent(X,Z), ancestor(Z,Y).
i.e. $\forall x \forall y \forall z($ Ancestor $(z, y) \wedge \operatorname{Parent}(x, z) \supset$ Ancestor( $x, y$ )) or
[Ancestor $(x, y)$, $\neg$ Ancestor( $x, y$ ), $\neg$ Ancestor $(x, y)]$.
- , represents conjunction and :- represents implication.


## rules

- variables are universally quantified from outside; can think of variables that appear only in rule body as existentially quantified.
- a program is a set of rules/definite clauses.
- ; represents disjunction, e.g.
parent(Parent, Child) :- mother(Parent, Child); father(Parent, Child).


## facts

- facts are a special case of rules, definite clauses with no negative literals, i.e. atomic formulas.
- e.g. father('George', 'Elizabeth').


## queries

- a query asks whether a (conjunction of) atomic formula is entailed by the program.
- ?- parent(X,'Elizabeth').

X = 'Mary'
Yes

- this asks whether

Program |= $\exists x$ Parent( $x$, Elizabeth) or
Program U \{ $\forall x \rightarrow$ Parent $(x$, Elizabeth) $\}$ |- [].

- variables in queries can be viewed as existentially quantified, can be used to retrieve information.


## simpler family relations e.g.

- rules
parent(Parent, Child) :- mother(Parent, Child).
parent(Parent, Child) :- father(Parent, Child).
- facts
father('George', 'Elizabeth'). father('George', 'Margaret'). mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth'). mother('Mary', 'Margaret').


## unification

- unification is used to match queries with facts or the head or rules
- no fixed input or output parameters
- ?- parent('Mary',X). X = 'Elizabeth'
Yes


## finding all solutions

```
| ?- parent(Parent, Child).
Parent = 'Mary',
Child = 'Elizabeth' ;
Parent = 'Mary',
Child = 'Margaret' ;
Parent = 'George',
Child = 'Elizabeth' ;
Parent = 'George',
Child = 'Margaret' ;
no
```


## search strategy/control

- Prolog searches to find a SLD resolution derivation of [] from the query.
- it works on the literals in the query from left to right.
- it resolves the first literal in the query against the first rules that matches, and the instantiated body of the rule replaces that literal in the query
- if eventually [] is derived, the query succeeds and the instantiation of the variables is returned.
- if at some point in the search no rule matches, the current query fails and Prolog backtracks to that last rule choice, and tries the next rule that matches.
- amounts to backward chaining, depth-first, left to right search.


## rules as procedures

- rule has form goal :- body
- goal or head is like name of procedure
- terms on the RHS are like the body of the procedure, the sub-goals that have to be achieved to show that the goal holds
- the sub-goals will be attempted left-to -right
- rule succeeds if all sub-goals succeed


## how prolog finds solutions

[trace] ?-
parent(Parent, Child1), parent(Parent, Child2), not(Child1 = Child2).
Call: (8) parent(_G313, _G314) ? creep
Call: (9) mother(_G313, _G314) ? creep
Exit: (9) mother('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep
Exit: (8) parent('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep
Call: (8) parent('Mary', _G317) ? creep
Call: (9) mother('Mary', _G317) ? creep

Exit: (9) mother('Mary',
'Elizabeth') ? creep
Exit: (8) parent('Mary', 'Elizabeth') ? creep
Redo: (9) mother('Mary',_G317) ? creep
Exit: (9) mother('Mary', 'Margaret') ? creep
Exit: (8) parent('Mary',
'Margaret') ? creep
Parent = 'Mary'
Child1 = 'Elizabeth'
Child2 = 'Margaret'

## search control

- programmer can control search by ordering rules and goals in the body of rules.
- also can use! (cut) as explained in textbook.
- not (negation as failure) can also be used to have a query succeed if another fails.


## arithmetic functions

- Prolog retains arithmetic functions as functions (more intuitive):
?- X is $\exp (1) . \% \exp (1)=\mathrm{e}^{1}$
$X=2.71828$
Yes
?- $X$ is $(4+2) * 5$.
$X=30$
Yes
- How does is compare with =, assignment?


## operators

- some functors are represented by infix or prefix or postfix operators
- some infix operators: is, =, +, *, /, mod, >, >=, ":-", ",", etc.
-     + and - are both prefix and infix
- :- as prefix is a command, used for declarations
- operators have precedence
- can define our own operators


## arithmetic examples

factorial $(0,1)$.
factorial( $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{M}$ ):- K is $\mathrm{N}-1$, factorial( $\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{L}$ ), M is N * L .
$\min (X, Y, X):-X=<Y,!$.
$\min (X, Y, Y)$.

## lists

- lists are a special kind of term that allows arbitrary number of components
- [] is the empty list
- . $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b})$ is a dotted pair
- [a, b, c] = .(a,.(b,.(c,[]))) is a list of 3 components.
- the functor . builds binary trees (as in Lisp)
- can use display $(X)$ to print internal representation of $X$


## lists

- can refer to the first and rest of a list using the notation: [First | Rest]
- e.g. ?- $X=[a, b, c], X=[F \mid R]$.
$X=[a, b, c]$
$\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{a}$
$\mathrm{R}=[\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}]$
- E.g. $X=[b], Y=a, Z=[Y \mid X]$.
$\mathrm{X}=[\mathrm{b}]$
$Y=a$
$Z=[a, b]$


## e.g. append predicate

append([],L,L).
append([X|L1],L2,[X|L3]) :- append(L1,L2,L3).
?- append([a,b],[c],X).
$X=[a, b, c]$
Yes
?- append (X,[c],[a,b,c]).
X $=[\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}]$
Yes
?- append([a,b],[c],[a,b,d]).
No

## more append examples



## building a knowledge base

- to be used in a computation, facts and rules must be stored in the (dynamic) database
- facts and rules get into the database through assertion and consultation
- consultation loads facts and rules from a file


## assertion

- ?- assert(human(ulyssus)).
- ?- human(X). X = ulyssus
Yes
- assertion can be done dynamically
- also retract to remove facts and rules from the DB
- like assignment, change state; avoid when possible


## consultation

- ?- consult('family.pl'). loads facts and rules from file family.pl
- ?- [family].
does the same thing
- ?- [user].
lets you enter facts and rules from the keyboard


## help is sometimes helpful

?- help(reverse).
reverse(+List1, -List2)
Reverse the order of the elements in List1 and unify the result with the elements of List2.
+arg: arg is input and should be instantiated.
-arg: arg is output and can be initially uninstantiated; if the query succeeds, the arg is instantiated with the "output" of the query.
?arg: arg can be either input or output

## online help

?- help(lists).
No help available for lists
Yes
?- apropos(lists).
merge/3 Merge two sorted lists
append/3
Concatenate lists
Section 11-1
Section 15-2-1
"lists: List Manipulation"
"lists"
?- help(append/3).
append(?List1, ?List2, ?List3)
Succeeds when List3 unifies with the concatenation of List1 and
List2. The predicate can be used with any instantiation pattern (even three variables).
e.g. solving a logic puzzle

## the zebra puzzle

1. There are 5 houses, occupied by politically-incorrect gentlemen of 5 different nationalities, who all have different coloured houses, keep different pets, drink different drinks, and smoke different (now-extinct) brands of cigarettes.
2. The Englishman lives in a red house.
3. The Spaniard keeps a dog.
4. The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
5. The ivory house is just to the left of the green house.
6. The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.

Who owns the zebra and who drinks water?

## Prolog implementation

- represent the 5 houses by a structure of 5 terms
house(Colour, Nationality, Pet, Drink, Cigarettes)
- create a partial structure using variables, to be filled by the solution process
- specify constraints to instantiate variables


## house building

makehouses(0,[]).
makehouses(N,[house(Col, Nat, Pet, Drk, Cig)|List])
:- N>0, N1 is N-1, makehouses(N1,List).
or more cleanly with anonymous variables:
makehouses(N,[house(_, _' _' _, _)|List])
:- $\mathrm{N}>0, \mathrm{~N} 1$ is $\mathrm{N}-1$, makehouses(N1,List).

## the empty houses

?- makehouses(5, List).
List $=$ [house(_G233,_G234,_G235,_G236,_G237), house(_G245, _G246, _G247, _G248, _G249), house(_G257, _G258, _G259, _G260, _G261), house(_G269, _G270, _G271, _G272, _G273), house(_G281, _G282, _G283, _G284, _G285)]

## constraints

- The Englishman lives in a red house. house(red, englishman, _, _, _) on List,
- The Spaniard keeps a dog. house( _, spaniard, dog, _, _) on List,
- The owner of the green house drinks coffee.
house(green, _, _, coffee, _) on List
- The ivory house is just to the left of the green house sublist2( [house(ivory, ,house(green, ${ }^{-\prime}$ _' $^{-\prime} \quad{ }^{-\prime}{ }^{-} \quad$ ) ], List),
- The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox. nextto(house( _, _, _, _, chesterfields), house( $\qquad$ _, fox, $^{\prime}{ }^{\text {_' }} \quad$ _, $\quad$ _), List),


## defining the on operator

- on is a user-defined infix operator that is a version of member/2
- :- op(100,zfy,on). X on List :- member(X,List). amounts to
$X$ on [ $X \mid$ _].
$X$ on [_|R]:- X on R.
See /cs/dept/course/2005-06/F/3401/zebra.pl


## predicates for defining constraints

- "just to the left of"? "lives next to"?
- define sublist2(S,L)
sublist2([S1, S2], [S1, S2 | _]).
sublist2(S, [_|T]):- sublist2(S, T).
- define nextto predicate nextto(H1, H2, L) :- sublist2([H1, H2], L). nextto(H1, H2 ,L) :- sublist2([H2, H1], L).


## translating the constraints

- The ivory house is just to the left of the green house sublist2( [house(ivory, _, _, _, _),
house(green, _, _, _, _)], List),
- The Chesterfields smoker lives next to a house with a fox.
nextto(house( _, _, _, _, chesterfields), house( _, _, fox, _, _), List),


## looking for the zebra

- Who owns the zebra and who drinks water?
find(ZebraOwner, WaterDrinker) :makehouses(5, List), house(red, englishman, _, _, _) on List, ... \% all other constraints house( _, WaterDrinker, _, water, _) on List, house( _, ZebraOwner, zebra, _, _) on List.
- solution is generated and queried in the same clause
- neither water or zebra are mentioned in the constraints


## solving the puzzle

?- [zebra].
\% zebra compiled 0.00 sec , 5,360 bytes
Yes
?- find(ZebraOwner, WaterDrinker).
ZebraOwner = japanese
WaterDrinker = norwegian ;
No

## how Prolog finds solution

After first 8 constraints:
List = [
house(red, englishman, snail, _G251, old_gold),
house(green, spaniard, dog, coffee, _G264),
house(ivory, ukrainian, _G274, tea, _G276),
house(green, _G285, _G286, _G287, _G288),
house(yellow, _G297, _G298, _G299, kools)]

## how Prolog solves the puzzle

Then need to satisfy "the owner of the third house drinks milk", i.e.
List = [_, _, house( _, _, _, milk, _),_, _],
Can't be done with current instantiation of List. So Prolog will backtrack and find another.

## how Prolog solves the puzzle

The unique complete solution is
L = [
house(yellow, norwegian, fox, water, kools),
house(blue, ukrainian, horse, tea, chesterfields), house(red, englishman, snail, milk, old_gold), house(ivory, spaniard, dog, orange, lucky_strike),
house(green, japanese, zebra, coffee, parliaments)]
See /cs/dept/course/2005-06/F/3401/zebra.pl

