Negation	Safeness
Datalog with Negation	Extended for Datalog¬
<ul> <li>Okay. Let us add "not" to the Datalog language (Datalog¬).</li> <li>E.g.,</li> <li>cousin (X, Y) ← grandparent (P, X), grandparent (P, Y), X ≠ Y, not sibling (X, Y).</li> <li>We only allow use of "not" on the right-hand side of the '←'.</li> <li>The intuitive meaning of "not" is quite clear.</li> <li>How to handle it formally is far from clear.</li> <li>What are the models of a Datalog¬ database?</li> <li>What should the proof procedure be for Datalog¬?</li> <li>This "not" is not logical negation ('¬')!</li> </ul>	We require that Datalog¬ programs be safe. We need to extend the definition of safeness for Datalog¬: Any variable that appears either in the head atom of the rule (on the left-hand side) or in a negated atom must also appear in a non-negated atom in the body (on the right-hand side). Thus, $h(X_1, \ldots, X_k) \leftarrow b_1(Y_1, \ldots, Y_{j_1}), \ldots, b_m(Y_{j_{m-1}}, \ldots, Y_{j_m}),$ not $d_1(Z_1, \ldots, Z_{j_1}), \ldots$ , not $d_n(Z_{j_{n-1}}, \ldots, Z_{j_n})$ . is safe if $(\{X_1, \ldots, X_k\} \cup \{Z_1, \ldots, Z_{j_n}\}) \subseteq \{Y_1, \ldots, Y_{j_m}\}$

Winter 2006 COSC-6490B: Issues in Information Integration—Godfrey p. 3 Winter 2006 COSC-6490B: Issues in Information Integration—Godfrey p. 4 Non-Monotonicity Stratification No cycles through "not" Non-classical Logic! Adding a new fact many require that we retract other things that we used The Grandmother database is *statically stratified*, even with the predicate to know. cousin. A program is  $statically\ stratified\ iff\ the\ predicates\ can\ be\ ordered\ such$ that no predicate employs another predicate negated that appears before it  $\mathcal{P}: a \leftarrow b, \text{ not } c.$ *b*. in the ordered list. From  $\mathcal{P}$ , *a* follows. integer(0). $\mathcal{P}': a \leftarrow b, \text{ not } c.$  $integer(I) \leftarrow integer(J), I is J+1.$ b.even (0).c.even  $(I) \leftarrow integer(I), I > 0, J \text{ is } I - 1, \text{ not } even(J).$ However, from  $\mathcal{P}'$ , a does not follow. In fact, we want to say that  $\neg a$  $odd (I) \leftarrow integer (I), not even (I).$ follows. -This odd-even program is clearly not statically stratified. However, it is locally stratified. Classical logic is monotonic. Thus this is a change from classical logic. A program is *locally stratified* iff for any ground atom A (e.g., *even* (7)), it This also means that what we have in mind for "not" really is different is not possible for the negation of atom A (e.g, not even (7)) to appear in a from classical negation (' $\neg$  '). resolution path from A. In other words, no "proof" of A relies on **not** A.

#### Winter 2006

#### The Perfect Model For Stratified Datalog¬ Programs

COSC-6490B: Issues in Information Integration-Godfrey

Just as there is one minimum model for a Datalog program, there exists one special model named the *perfect model* for each Datalog¬ program.

Let  $\mathbf{P}$  denote the perfect model of program  $\mathcal{P}$ . The interpretation in which A is assigned *true* when  $A \in \mathbf{P}$  and is assigned *false* when  $A \notin \mathbf{P}$  is a model of  $\mathcal{P}$  (in which the **not**'s are treated as logical  $\neg$ 's), and is, in a sense, minimal.

Negation-as-finite-failure (NAFF) remains a sound proof strategy for stratified datalog $\neg$  programs.

#### Winter 2006

p. 5

### COSC-6490B: Issues in Information Integration—Godfrey

#### Non-mon Negation in Datalog¬ Extends Expressiveness

#### Modeling

Winter 2006

- Can ask queries with negative components.
- Can express many views (e.g., *cousin*) that we cannot in Datalog.
- Can model databases more succiently

#### Towards capturing SQL

- Of course, we now can do **except**.
- Can express aggregation using not.

NULLs and full-fledged arithmetic in SQL are still a problem.

# Winter 2006 COSC-6490B: Issues in Information Integration—Godfrey p. 7 Negation Example: Game of Peggly

The game of Peggly is played by two players with a pile of k coins.

- The players alternate turns.
- On a player's turn, the player removes one, two, or three coins.
- If only one coin remains, the player whose turn it is must take it.
- The player to take the last coin loses. (And thus the other player is the winner.)

#### Generic.

win  $(X) \leftarrow move (X, Y), not win (Y).$ 

#### Peggly Rules.

move  $(X, Y) \leftarrow X \ge 1$ , Y is X - 1. move  $(X, Y) \leftarrow X \ge 2$ , Y is X - 2. move  $(X, Y) \leftarrow X \ge 3$ , Y is X - 3. win (0).

## COSC-6490B: Issues in Information Integration—Godfrey

Non-mon Negation in Datalog¬ Computationally Expensive!

Why? To prove a not, one must show that every possible proof path fails.

```
state(11) wins because state(9) loses.
state(9) loses because
     state(8) wins
     state(8) wins because state(5) loses.
    state(5) loses because
         state(4) wins
state(4) wins because state(1) loses.
         state(1) loses because
         that is all.
AND
         state(3) wins
         state(3) wins because state(1) loses.
         state(1) has been shown to lose.
         AND
         state(2) wins
         state(2) wins because state(1) loses.
         state(1) has been shown to lose
         AND
         that is all.
     AND
    state(7) wins
    state(7) wins because state(5) loses.
     state(5) has been shown to lose
    AND
    state(6) wins
    state(6) wins because state(5) loses.
     state(5) has been shown to lose.
    AND
    that is all.
```

#### p. 6

p. 8

Winter 2006	COSC-6490B: Issues in Information Integration—Godfrey	p. 9
	Non-Stratified	
Of course there a locally stratified	are Datalog¬ programs (databases) that are not even	
	$a \leftarrow \operatorname{not} b.$ $b \leftarrow \operatorname{not} a.$	
Do we ever need	l a non-stratified Datalog¬ programs?	
Unfortunately, t	here are natural cases.	
	on problem to determine whether an arbitrary Datalog ly stratified is undecidable.	
For non-stratifie	d Datalog¬ programs:	
$\bullet$ What is the	• What is the semantics?	
Well, we hav	re choices	
	proof procedure?	
NAFF no loi	nger works.	

